Jekyll Island Environmental Assessment Procedure (EAP):

Report summarizing the findings of the EAP Committee considering the condo development concept proposed for Jekyll Harbor Marina, known as “The Moorings”.

Date of EAP Committee meeting: 03/04/2019

EAP Committee members:
- Ben Carswell, Director of Conservation, JIA
- Cliff Gawron, Director of Landscape and Planning, JIA
- Yank Moore, Land Conservation Manager, JIA
- David Steen, Research Ecologist, JIA – Georgia Sea Turtle
- Karl Burgess, Assistant Director, GADNR Coastal Resources Div.
- Ashby Worley, Coastal Resilience Manager, The Nature Conservancy, Georgia Chapter
- Whit Vick, Community Member

Project under consideration
Carolina Holdings Group (CHG), in partnership with the ownership group of Jekyll Harbor Marina (JHM), proposes to develop a condominium component in association with the existing marina property and restaurant. The develop concept calls for 5 buildings totaling 48 units. The JHM parcel is unique because it includes approximately 5 acres of land that has been relatively untouched since the inception of the JHM lease in 1990. The entirety of the leased parcel is classified as “Developed” in regards to the statutory requirements in State law constraining development on Jekyll Island. However, a substantial amount of land within the lease boundaries currently exists in a natural state with associated ecological functions and services.

Assessment
The EAP Committee finds this that this concept poses no inherent conflicts with the Jekyll Island Conservation Plan, meaning that it is not foreseen that the project would be likely to cause impacts that could compromise the Authority’s ability to preserve, maintain, manage, and restore Jekyll Island’s natural communities and species diversity.

However, the Committee is emphatic in calling attention to the fact that this project, if constructed, would be more exposed to risk of near term coastal flooding impacts and long term sea-level rise impacts than any other residential property on Jekyll Island.
Conditions of the committee’s favorable assessment of this project follow. Requirements are essential to the committee’s favorable assessment. Recommendations are also provided that the Committee believes would be broadly beneficial investments in the property. Most points pertain to design and construction, but some points pertain to the operation of the new facilities, should they be built. Note that this review does not absolve or supersede any other regulatory, permitting, or mitigation requirements imposed by the JIA, Glynn County, State, or Federal authorities. JIA staff stand ready to consult with the project team regarding implementation of any points presented in this report.

Commendations

The Committee was made aware of the concept-development dialogue between the development team and the JIA Design Review Committee that preceded presentation of the concept proposal to the JIA Board. The changes advanced through this process from the earliest preliminary concepts were substantial and important in the finding that, as conceptually proposed, the project does not pose an inherent conflict with the Jekyll Island Conservation Plan. In particular, the reduction in the hardscape footprint achieved by planning for parking below the living spaces, minimal manicured landscaping, and the earnest eye towards green-building and renewable-energy investments, are lauded by the EAP Committee.

Requirements

1. Engagement of an independent, specialized coastal risk/resiliency/engineering consultant to make recommendations concerning a current and future risk of coastal flooding. The figures that follow this report are intended to convey the seriousness of this requirement and the type of information with coastal risk consultant should be interpreting for the development team. JIA will approve the scope of work before the consultant is hired.

- The property has been observed during recent spring tide events, coinciding with northeasterly wind flow, to be on the cusp of tidal flooding. This means that relatively small increases in water level, due to storm surge, tidal anomalies, or longer term sea-level rise, have a clear potential to flood areas of the property proposed for development. The Committee was not satisfied with the depth of the development team’s previous response, dated November 8, 2018, to JIA on the question of sea level rise. Furthermore, the committee emphasized that, although the language in the Jekyll Island Master Plan calling for new projects to plan for between 1.3 and 2.3 feet of sea level rise by 2060, is an important policy, the importance of flood resiliency is immediate and not just an issue of the distant future.
• The consultant should present recommendations relative to elevating or otherwise flood-proofing and optimizing critical infrastructure, including but not limited to, HVAC, water/sewer, site drainage, trash/recycling, etc. The consultant should work with the development team to develop a flood-impact preparation and recovery plan to-be submitted to the JIA along with recommended design/development modifications to reduce and mitigate the risk of flood impacts. The consultant should confirm that this project will not compromise future advancement of Jekyll Island’s class rating under the Community Rating System.

• There is no doubt that a competitive field of prospective consultants exists that could offer services in this arena. Although neither the Committee, nor the JIA, endorse any particular company or individual, one that was brought to the attention of the Committee is called Coastal Risk Consulting, [https://floodscores.com/](https://floodscores.com/). Any other appropriately qualified and accredited firms may of course also be considered.

2. Parking

• The Committee calls for the reduction of 11 parking spaces by eliminating three “bays” marked PP-6, PP-2, and PP-3, east of the road leading to Building A and the Marina Operations Area. This is related to the priority placed by the Jekyll Island Conservation plan on maintaining wildlife movement corridors. The refinements to the concept plan made in collaboration with the JIA Design Review Committee moved the project close to where it needs to be to avoid unacceptable impacts to an important wildlife corridor. Removing these 11 spaces from the periphery of the area that will maintain wildlife corridor functions is essential to the Committee’s finding of no inherent conflict.

• The development team should submit a basic plan for maintaining the pervious paving elements of the project to ensure that permeability is not lost over time.

3. Dredge-material contaminant testing

• JIA has been informed by the DNR – Coastal Resources Division that in order to satisfy the permit conditions of Coastal Marshlands Protection Act (CMPA) Permit #672, in regards to the upland component (dredged-material dewatering) of Jekyll Harbor Marina’s dredging project, their office will require that a letter be submitted to them explaining why the marina no longer plans to remove the de-watered material and explaining the new plan for the material. CRD will also require a letter from JIA in support of the new plan. JIAs willingness to provide such a letter is contingent upon the following requirement:

• An environmental consultant shall be engaged to advise the development team and JIA on appropriate testing for potential toxic contaminants in the dredge spoil material. JIA will approve, through the design review process, the scope of work before the consultant
is hired. The consultant should recommend a list of potential contaminants to test for, including any contaminants originating from chemicals used during dredging operations, as well as a methodology for representative and thorough sampling of the material, and identified thresholds for any contaminant levels that would call for mitigating measures due to either public health or ecological concerns. The list of contaminants to be tested for must be approved by the JIA. Our understanding is that some testing of the material has been conducted previously by Jekyll Harbor Marina. However, our impression is that this prior testing was oriented towards evaluating the ability of the material to support plant growth – measuring soil pH, salinity, etc. Our concern is instead with the potential for toxic contamination, such as heavy metals or persistent organic pollutants. Neither the JIA nor the DNR have any records on file of prior testing. If any testing was done to detect toxins, either before or after the material was dredged, please provide it.

4. Construction-site cleanliness and cleanup

- The JIA Ordinance Concerning the use of EIFS construction methods must be complied with throughout construction. Fines will be issued for violations.
- During construction, any debris that escapes the boundaries of the site (carried by the wind or in stormwater runoff) should be routinely cleaned up and must not remain beyond the completion of construction.
- Any stormwater conveyances leading off site must be left free of any debris or sediment following construction. All conveyances must comply with post-construction runoff standards.
- Stormwater-management and erosion-control BMPs must be in place in accordance with all county and state regulatory requirements throughout the project.

Recommendations

1. Irrigation

- The Committee strongly discourages consideration of applying to JIA for an irrigation well permit on this property. Given the minimalistic landscape architecture proposed in the concept plan, the anticipation of a planting palate dominated by native species, and the closeness of the water-table to the surface elevation on the property, the need for supplemental irrigation should be minimal and should be accommodated from the public-water supply. The JIA is open to discuss options for metering the public water supply for irrigation water to see if we can find a way to make that option more affordable during dry periods when irrigation may be needed.
2. “Contained” placement of dredged material

- The Committee recommends that the development team evaluate the feasibility of placing all dredged material directly underneath structural and/or hardscape elements. The importance of this recommendation is to be informed by the results of the contaminant testing (Requirement #3). If no concerning levels of toxic contaminants are found in the material, this recommendation may not be applicable. Otherwise, is could be evaluated as one potential mitigation measure, should it be determined that mitigating measures are called for.

3. Electric-vehicle parking

- Mainstream market analysis predicts a rapid expansion in the electric-vehicle market within the coming decade. Georgia, particularly the Atlanta market, already is among the leaders in the nation in electric-car ownership. Infrastructure that prepares to accommodate the likelihood of this demand from future residents/tenants is advisable.

4. Recyclable building materials

- When selecting building materials, please strive for any elements that are likely to be replaced within the lifetime of the structure, such as interior design features, to be recyclable.
Figure 1. This photo taken on February 19, 2019 shows the level of tide-water during a spring tide event in conjunction northeasterly wind flow. The water level elevation is estimated at 5.96’ (NAVD88 datum – comparable to Mean Sea Level (MSL)).

Figure 2. This map shows elevations on the JHM leased parcel that fall below 5.96 ft. (Red) and above 5.96 ft. (Green). The elevation data used to generate this map is from 2009.
Figure 3. This Graph shows the frequency per year of tide levels of different heights above mean sea level (MSL) and associated historic trend lines. These data are from actual measurements at Fort Pulaski, Georgia, not computer-model projections. Number of tides is on the vertical axis and year is on the horizontal axis. The blue line shows the number times in each year that a high tide exceeded 5-feet above MSL. The yellow line shows the same for 5.5-feet, and the black line for 6-feet. The trend lines show that the rate of increase in the frequency that these “extreme” high tides are occurring has been increasing exponentially since around 1950. This means that a tide event such as the one shown in Figure 1, or higher, can be expected to occur more and more frequently in the future.
This model-based prediction of sea level shows that for intermediate predictions of the rate of future sea-level rise in our area, calling for about 2-feet of rise by the year 2060, the eastern periphery of the Jekyll Harbor Marina lease could be below Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) - the average daily highest tide.
This model-based prediction of sea level shows that for higher predictions of the rate of future sea-level rise in our area, calling for about 3-feet of rise by the year 2060, or for more moderate predictions further out in time, a substantial percentage of the Jekyll Harbor Marina lease could be below Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) - the average daily highest tide.